

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/063,563 05/02/2002 Dan L. Eaton P3230R1C001-168 9765 EXAMINER 30313 02/01/2006 KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP DUFFY, PATRICIA ANN 2040 MAIN STREET ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER IRVINE, CA 92614 1645

DATE MAILED: 02/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|-------------------|--------------|
| 10/063,563 | EATON ET AL. |
| Examiner | Art Unit |
| Patricia A. Duffy | 1645 |

| | r atricia A. Dully | 1043 | |
|---|---|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>01 July 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL | ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (| fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | = | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin | g date of the final rejecti | on. |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 | 06.07(f). | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | |
| AMENDMENTS | | · | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | nsideration and/or search (see NO | | ecause |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | ter form for appeal by materially re | ducing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13 | | empliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). | · | • | - |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | Il be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>4-8, 11-17</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome all rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attacl | hed. |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. | t does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N | No(s) | |
| 13. Other: | | | |
| | | Patricia A. Duffy Primary Examiner | Ty |

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1645

PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 10/063,563

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed claims are drawn to antibodies whereas the examined claims are drawn to polypeptides.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It is drawn to a completely different invention then that which is examined and is therefore moot.